Radical Addition to Amide-Substituted Alkenes: Stereoselective Intermolecular Radical Additions

Ned A. Porter,* Daniel M. Scott, and Brigitte Lacher

Department of Chemistry, Duke University Durham, North Carolina 27706

Bernd Giese* and Heinz Georg Zeitz

Institute of Organic Chemistry University of Basel, St. Johanns-Ring 18 CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

Hans J. Lindner*

Institut fur Organische Chemie und Biochemie Technische Hochschule, D-6100, Petersenstr. 22 Darmstadt, West Germany Received July 17, 1989

Carbon-carbon bond forming addition of radicals containing a stereogenic center to alkenes can occur with high stereoselectivity. The scope of this reaction has been explored extensively in recent years.¹ High stereoselectivities in addition reactions are usually observed from cyclic radicals bearing substituents on carbons adjacent to the radical,²⁻⁴ and steric effects are suggested to play a dominant role in this selectivity. For example, addition to acrylonitrile of 2-methylcyclopentyl radical occurs with a selectivity of 92:8, addition of the radical occurring preferentially on the face of the ring opposite the substituent.⁴ In contrast there is, to our knowledge, only one report of a stereogenic center on an acyclic alkene directing the addition of a radical to one diastereoface of the alkene,⁵ and there is no general understanding of the structural requirements that might lead to high selectivities in C-C bond forming reactions of this type. Thus, extensive investigations of several chiral fumarate esters generally gave low selectivity in the addition of radicals to the fumarates,⁶ and in only one case, a monomaleate ester, was significant stereoselectivity observed.⁵

In the course of our studies on radical macrocyclization,^{7,8} we explored the use of alkenes bearing a chiral pyrrolidine amide as an auxiliary, and we found significant stereoselectivity in these intramolecular additions. These amide auxiliaries control the orientation of the pyrrolidine stereogenic center relative to the alkene diastereofaces (vide infra), and this serves as a steric shield for addition to one face of the alkene. This analysis applies for intermolecular additions as well as intramolecular additions, and we report here our study of two intermolecular radical additions that use this auxiliary and that proceed with high selectivity.

The two alkenes studied were both amides of 2,5-dimethylpyrrolidine, available as the S,S enantiomer from L-alanine.^{9,10} One alkene was the unsymmetrical monoamide, 1, derived from 4-oxo-2-pentenoic acid while the other substrate examined was the diamide, 2, of fumaric acid. The monoamide 1 was prepared



from the corresponding acid by a mixed anhydride coupling

(1) Giese, B. Radicals in Organic Synthesis: Formation of Carbon-Carbon Bonds; Pergamon Press: New York, 1986.

Bonas, Pergamon Press: New York, 1986.
(2) Giese, B.; Harnisch, H.; Luning, U. Chem. Ber. 1985, 118, 1345.
(3) Barton, D. H. R.; Gateau-Olesker, A.; Gero, S. D.; Lacher, B.;
Tachdjian, C.; Zard, S. Z. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1790.
(4) Giese, B.; Heuck, K.; Lenhardt, H.; Luning, U. Chem. Ber. 1984, 117,

2132

- (5) Vassen, R.; Runsink, J.; Scharf, H.-D. Chem. Ber. 1986, 119, 3492. (6) Giese, B.; Bartmann, D.; Rupaner, R., unpublished worl
- (7) Porter, N. A.; Chang, V.-H. T.; Magnin, D. R.; Wright, B. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3554.
- (8) Porter, N. A.; Lacher, B.; Chang, V. H.-T.; Magnin, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., preceding paper in this issue. (9) (a) Schlessinger, R. H.; Iwanowicz, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28,
- 2083. (b) Short, R. P.; Kennedy, R. M.; Masamune, S. J. Org. Chem. 1989, *54*, 1755
- (10) Whitesell, J. K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 280.

procedure while the fumarate diamide was prepared from fumaric acid via fumaric chloride. Addition of alkyl radicals was achieved by both the "tin method" involving tin hydride mediated generation of alkyl radicals and the "mercury method" that uses alkylmercuric halide/sodium borohydride or cyanoborohydride as the radical source.1

Addition of *n*-hexyl radical to 1 gives four isomeric addition products. Two of these products, 3a and 3b, are the result of addition of the radical to the ketone end of the alkene while two products, 4a and 4b, result from addition to the amide end.



Separation of the 4a diastereomers was achieved by preparative HPLC on silica gel (1:1 ethyl acetate/hexane) or by capillary gas chromatography on a 30-m SPB-1 column. While NMR did not help in distinguishing the products, mass spectroscopy was useful in the identification of the 3 and 4 radical adducts.¹² The products formed by radical addition next to the ketone, 3a and 3b, are formed with little selectivity (60:40 product ratio) while the products 4a and 4b are formed in ratios as high as 93:7. Consequently the stereochemistry of these compounds was proved by structure degradation and independent synthesis.

The methyl ketone functional group of the major product formed, 4a, was converted to the succinic acid mono methyl ester (compound 5a) by the iodoform reaction followed by diazomethane.^{13,14} This stereoisomer was independently synthesized from (-)-(S)-2-hexylsuccinic acid by (1) formation of the (S)-2-hexylsuccinic anhydride by reaction with acetyl chloride, (2) conversion of the anhydride to a mixture of two regioisomeric monomethyl esters after reaction with methanol, (3) formation of the monoester-mono acid chloride by reaction with thionyl chloride, and (4) reaction of the acid chloride with 2(S),5(S)dimethylpyrrolidine.15

The major product formed by addition of hexyl radical to the alkene 1 gives a methyl ester 5a that is identical in every respect with the diastereomer synthesized independently. Thus, with the (S,S)-pyrrolidine auxiliary, the new stereogenic center is formed at the alkene with S configuration while the (R,R)-pyrrolidine would give a new R center. At 80 °C, the product distribution of alkene radical adducts is 3a,b:4a,b = 1.1 and 4a:4b = 7.2 while at 0 °C these ratios are 3a,b:4a,b = 0.95 and 4a:4b = 15.0.

When the fumaramide 2 was reacted with tert-butyl radical, only two isomeric radical adducts were obtained, these products differing only by the configuration at the newly formed stereogenic center. The stereoisomeric compounds 6a and 6b could be separated by capillary gas chromatography (SE 30, 20 m) and by flash chromatography on silica gel (pentane/acetone = 4:1).



(11) Wolff, L. Chem. Ber. 1887, 20, 425.

(12) Regiochemical assignment based on the appearance of ions m/z =154 for radical addition on the end of the alkene adjacent to the amide and

- m/z = 141 for radical addition adjacent to the ketone.
 (13) Shriner, R. L.; Fuson, R. C.; Curtin, D. Y. The Systematic Identification of Organic Compounds; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1956; pp 156-157
- (14) All new compounds were fully characterized by spectroscopy (NMR and MS) and either elemental analysis or exact mass analysis.
- (15) Jacques, J.; Gros, C.; Bourcier, S.; Brienne, M. J.; Toullec, J. Absolute Configurations of 6000 Selected Compounds With One Asymmetric Carbon Atom, Stereochemistry; Kagan, H., Ed.; Georg Thieme Publishers: Stuttgart, 1977; Vol. 4.

0002-7863/89/1511-8311\$01.50/0 © 1989 American Chemical Society



Figure 1. Solid-state conformation of 6a from single-crystal X-ray analysis.





The main isomer **6a** gave crystals (from hexane, mp = 91-92°C), on which a single-crystal X-ray analysis was carried out.¹⁶ The structure for isomer 6a is presented in Figure 1, and examination of the structure indicates that the new stereogenic center has been formed with the R configuration. Because of the different priorities of the n-butyl and tert-butyl groups in structures 4 and 6, the major adduct formed in the radical addition to both 1 and 2 is the result of addition from the analogous face of both alkenes (see structures 5a and 6a) even though the configuration of the newly formed centers is different. The ratio of products 6a:6b is 16:1 at 110 °C, and at 20 °C, the ratio is 6a:6b = 40:1.

The diastereoselectivity observed for radical addition to 1 and 2 can be understood by the model shown in Figure 2. The dimethylpyrrolidine auxiliary fixes the dimethyl groups of the auxiliary relative to the alkene faces by virtue of the favored conformation as shown about the carbonyl $C-C_{\alpha}$ bond and the C₂ axis of the pyrrolidine group. In fact, molecular mechanics calculations suggest that the conformation about the carbonyl $C-C_{\alpha}$ bond shown in Figure 2 is favored by over 3 kcal/mol over the other planar conformation possible in which the carbonyl oxygen and amide nitrogen have exchanged positions. The nucleophilic radical has a required approach to the olefin on a vector over the pyrrolidine, and this sterically protects one face of the olefin from addition. In support of the model, we note that addition of hexyl radical to the end of 1 remote from the amide occurs without significant diastereoselectivity. That steric effects

are important in the selectivity observed is also suggested by the fact that the bulky tert-butyl radical gives higher selectivities than the smaller *n*-hexyl radical.

The observation of significant selectivities in radical additions to unsaturated amides with C2 symmetry auxiliaries opens the possibility for the use of free radicals in the construction of stereogenic centers with defined configuration. The success achieved by the use of sterically hindered radicals in these additions is noteworthy since construction of structures of this type by carbanionic alkylation procedures would be particularly difficult.

Acknowledgment. N.A.P. thanks the NIH (HL17921) and NSF for generous financial support.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of bond lengths and angles (19 pages); tables of observed and calculated structure factors (8 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Oxidation of Methylhydrazine at a Metal Center. Stereoselective Synthesis of cis-Methyldiazene, $NH = N(CH_3)$

Milton R. Smith, III, Ronald L. Keys, and Gregory L. Hillhouse*

> Searle Chemistry Laboratory Department of Chemistry The University of Chicago Chicago, Illinois 60637

Arnold L. Rheingold*

Department of Chemistry University of Delaware Newark, Delaware 19716 Received May 30, 1989

We have recently reported the preparation and some aspects of the fundamental reaction chemistry of monosubstituted cisaryldiazenes, cis-NH=NR (R = aryl group).¹² These are simple but significant molecules because they are often invoked as reactive intermediates in a wide range of organic transformations (that usually involve loss of dinitrogen)^{3,4} and are thought to be ubiquitous reactive metabolites responsible (as alkylating agents) for the carcinogenic activity often found in molecules containing the diazo functionality.

Thus far our synthetic approach, entailing the displacement of cis-NH=NR from [trans,trans-W(NH=NR)(CO)₂(NO)- $(PPh_3)_2^+$ [PF₆⁻] by bromide ions, has been limited to the preparation of aryldiazenes because the key tungsten complex is prepared by a 1,1-insertion reaction of an aryldiazonium cation into the W-H bond of trans, trans-W(H)(CO)₂(NO)(PPh₃)₂ (1),⁶ and simple non-aryl diazonium salts $(RN_2^+, R = H, alkyl)$ are unstable. Herein we report that a route to cis-methyldiazene has been developed, involving selective oxidation of methylhydrazine coordinated to a tungsten complex, that provides the first synthetic entry to cis-monosubstituted diazenes that contain an alkyl group.

(6) Hillhouse, G. L.; Haymore, B. L. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 1876.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Space group $P2_1$; a = 3.678 (10) Å; b = 17.575 (10) Å; c = 6.253 (5) Å; $\beta = 106.78$ (5)°; V = 1018.1 Å³; Z = 2; $\rho_{calcd} = 1.098$ g cm⁻³; μ (Cu K α) = 4.77 cm⁻¹. Tables of parameters, bond lengths and angles, and observed and calculated structure factors are available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data File and as supplementary material.

⁽¹⁾ Smith, M. R., III; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4066. (2) Smith, M. R., III; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3764.

⁽a) (a) Kosower, E. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1971, 4, 193. (b) Kosower, E. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1971, 4, 193. (b) Kosower, E. M.; Huang, P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2367.
(4) (a) Cram, D. J.; Bradshaw, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1108.
(b) Huyser, E. S.; Wang, R. H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 2901. (c) Szmant, H. H.; Roman, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 4034. (d) McFadyen, Soc. 1966, 584, (d) Michadyen, Soc. 1966, 87, 4034. (d) McFadyen, Soc. 1966, 584, (d) Michadyen, Soc. 1966, 87, 4034. (d) McFadyen, Soc. 1966, 584, (d) Michadyen, Soc. 1966, 87, 4034. (d) McFadyen, Soc. 1966, 88, 4034. (d) McFadyen, Soc. 1966, 80, 4004. (d) McFadyen, Soc. 1966, 80, 4004. (d) McFadyen, Soc. 1966, 80, 4004. (d) McFadyen, So J. S.; Stevens, T. S. J. Chem. Soc. 1936, 584. (e) Nickon, A.; Hill, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 1152. (f) Bumgardner, C. L.; Martin, K. H.; Freeman, J. P. Ibid. 1963, 85, 97. (g) Doldouras, G. A.; Kollonitsch, J. Ibid. 1978, 100, 341.

⁽⁵⁾ Miyadera, T. Biological Formation and Reactions of Hydrazo, Azo and Azoxy Groups. In The Chemistry of the Hydrazo, Azo and Azoxy Groups (Part 1); Patai, S., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1975; p 495 ff.